Empathy Condition	Self/Objective (Control) Condition	
Send a nice text message to someone close right now. Try to make them feel loved.	Reply to this text message describing the nearest object to you.	
During your social interactions today, try to avoid distractions, give eye contact, and face the person you are talking to.	During your social interactions today, keep track of time, and don't get too caught up in frivolous interactions.	ral
Think about somebody close to you. Do a small nice thing for this person today.	Think about all that you deserve. Do something nice for yourself today.	Behavioral
Smile at the next person you see, no matter who they are.	Smile at the mirror or window next time you see your reflection.	Bel
Use active listening phrases during your social interactions today: e.g. "What I hear you saying is"	During your social interactions today, make sure that you share your own views in this conversation. Don't let other people dominate.	
Imagine what the last person you interacted with was thinking about. What does the world look like from their perspective?	During your last social interaction, think of how you could have been more cool, objective, and logical in the conversation.	
Reflect on somebody close to you. What do they hope to achieve in life? Think of one positive step they have recently taken to achieve their goals.	Recall you last social interaction as if you were a fly on the wall, from an observer perspective. Focus on the facts only.	
Think about your last social interaction. What obstacles or challenges does the person face? See these problems from their point of view. Think about your last social interaction. What was important to	Think about your last social interaction. Think of two specific ways you could be more neutral and objective in the future. Think about your last social interaction. Try to analyze what	
them in the conversation? Can you try to see the world the way they do? Reflect on somebody close to you. Imagine that you are inside their mind. What do you think they are thinking about right	happened in the conversation, and have some healthy distance from it Take a few moments to pay attention to what you are currently	Cognitive
now? Think about someone you have recently had trouble getting along with. For the next 30 seconds, focus on what you have in common with this person.	thinking. What is the next thing you have to do today? Think about someone you have recently had trouble getting along with. For the next 30 seconds, think of a good counter argument to prove your point.)
Think about someone you have recently had trouble getting along with. For the next 30 seconds, try to understand their point of view.	Think about someone you have recently had trouble getting along with. For the next 30 seconds, think of how you might make them see your point of view.	
Think about your last social interaction. What was your interaction partner really trying to say? Did you accurately read their body language?	Think about your last social interaction. What were you really trying to say. Did the other person accurately read your body language?	
Reflect on somebody close to you. What is the most recent thing in their life that made them feel sad or disappointed? How can you be there for them?	Reflect on this question. When was the most recent time when people loved to hear your stories, jokes, or ideas? How good did it make you feel?	
Think about someone you know who might be feeling down. Try to feel their pain. Imagine what else they are feeling.	Think about a time that you felt powerful, like you had an influence over others. Try to remember how good this felt.	
Think about the last time a loved one was upset. Try to understand his or her feelings.	Think about the last time you felt proud. Try to remember all your positive feelings.	
Reflect on somebody close to you. Send this person warm thoughts of unconditional love and acceptance.	For the next 30 seconds, focus on who you are as a unique individual and what makes you special.	ional
Think about someone close to you. How can you show this person that you accept them and care for them?	Think about your last social interaction. Did this person give you the level of respect you deserve?	Emotional
Think about someone you know who has just received some good news. Try to feel their joy. Do they have any other feelings?	Think about the last time you experienced a success. Try to recall your good feelings. How can you have more successes like this?	
Reflect on somebody close to you. What makes them feel happiest?	Reflect on this question. What makes you better than others? What are your unique skills and qualities?	
Focus on connecting with and feeling close to the person you last interacted with, no matter who they are.	Focus on the ways that you are different and unique from the person you last interacted with, no matter who they are.	

Measure	Empathy condition		Combined Control conditions		Statistical tests	Effect Size (Cohen's d)	Benjamini- Hochberg significance	Benjamini- Hochberg false discovery probability^
	Men	Women	Men	Women				
Immediate Post-Intervention (Time 2)								
Affective (motives and emotions)								
Motives for volunteering: To help others	92.2% (12.4%)	83.5% (7.7%)	74.0% (8.0%)	63.8% (7.4%)	Condition: <i>F</i> (1,65)=4.39, <i>p</i> =.04 Gender: <i>F</i> (1,65)=1.10, <i>p</i> =.30 Interaction: <i>F</i> (1,65)=.01, <i>p</i> =.94	Condition: .51 Gender: .26	Significant	0.112
Motives for volunteering: To feel good	28.3% (13.4%)	27.3% (8.3%)	44.1% (8.7%)	52.8% (8.1%)	Condition: $F(1,65)=4.41$, $p=.04$ Gender: $F(1,65)=.15$, $p=.70$ Interaction: $F(1,65)=.24$, $p=.63$	Condition: d=.51 Gender: d=.10	Significant	0.112
Motives for volunteering:To benefit career	0.5% (12.2%)	29.2% (7.6%)	13.7% (8.0%)	32.8% (7.4%)	Condition: $F(1,65)=.87$, $p=.35$ Gender: $F(1,65)=7.00$, $p=.01$ Interaction: $F(1,65)=.28$, $p=.60$	Condition: d=.23 Gender: d=.65	Not significant	0.6125
Personal distress emotions in response to target in distress	2.96 (.45)	3.76 (.32)	4.02 (.36)	4.23 (.32)	Condition: $F(1,74)=4.43$, $p=.04$ Gender: $F(1,74)=1.92$, $p=.17$ Interaction: $F(1,74)=.66$, $p=.42$	Condition: d=.48 Gender: d=.32	Significant	0.112
Empathic emotions in response to target in distress	5.86 (.29)	5.79 (.20)	5.94 (.23)	6.12 (.20)	Condition: $F(1,74)=.76$, $p=.39$ Gender: $F(1,74)=.05$, $p=.82$ Interaction: $F(1,74)=.28$, $p=.60$	Condition: d=.20 Gender: d=.05	Not significant	0.642
Emotional empathy in imagined scenarios (e.g. emotional resonance, acknowledging emotion)	4.99 (.32)	4.97 (.22)	4.35 (.24)	4.58 (.22)	Condition: $F(1,78)=4.18$, $p=.04$ Gender: $F(1,78)=.16$, $p=.69$ Interaction: $F(1,78)=.23$, $p=.63$	Condition: d=.45 Gender: d=.09	Significant	0.112
General beliefs Aggressive beliefs	1.81 (.07)	1.87 (.05)	2.04 (.05)	1.80 (.05)	Condition: $F(1,76)=2.26$, $p=.14$ Gender: $F(1,76)=3.30$, $p=.07$ Interaction: $F(1,76)=8.43$, $p=.005$	Condition: d=.34 Gender: d=.41 Men: d=1.06 Women: d=.31	Significant (males)	0.065
Traits / self-perceptions Moral principle of care	4.06 (.09)	4.29 (.06)	4.23 (.07)	4.17 (.07)	Condition: $F(1,77)=.17$, $p=.68$ Gender: $F(1,77)=1.39$, $p=.24$ Interaction: $F(1,77)=4.19$, $p=.04$	Condition: d=.09 Gender: d=.27 Men: d=.51	Not significant	0.112

]	Women: d=.38		
Dispositional empathy:	3.54	3.74	3.83	3.93	Condition: $F(1,78)=8.43$, $p=.005$	Condition: d=.64	Significant	0.065
Empathic Concern	(.11)	(.07)	(.08)	(.07)	Gender: $F(1,78)=3.25$, $p=.08$	Gender: d=.40		
	, , ,	, ,			Interaction: $F(1,78)=.37$, $p=.55$			
Dispositional empathy:	3.68	3.58	3.63	3.72	Condition: $F(1,78)=.24$, $p=.63$	Condition: d=.11	Not	0.840
Perspective Taking	(.13)	(.09)	(.10)	(.09)	Gender: $F(1,78)=.002$, $p=.97$	Gender: d=.01	significant	
					Interaction: $F(1,78)=.79$, $p=.38$			
Dispositional empathy:	3.28	3.32	3.39	3.38	Condition: $F(1,78)=.60, p=.44$	Condition: d=.17	Not	0.684
Fantasy	(.14)	(.10)	(.10)	(.10)	Gender: $F(1,78)=.02$, $p=.90$	Gender: d=.03	significant	
					Interaction: $F(1,78)=.05, p=.82$			
Dispositional empathy:	2.39	2.68	2.41	2.55	Condition: $F(1,78)=.29$, $p=.59$	Condition: d=.12	Not	0.840
Personal Distress	(.12)	(.08)	(.09)	(.08)	Gender: $F(1,78)=5.18$, $p=.03$	Gender: d=.51	significant	
					Interaction: $F(1,78)=.57$, $p=.45$			
Behaviors (self-reported and								
observed)								
Ratio of giving to receiving	1.11	.88	.84	.94	Condition: $F(1,67)=2.09$, $p=.15$	Condition: d=.34	Significant	0.112
social support	(.10)	(.06)	(.07)	(.06)	Gender: $F(1,67)=.68$, $p=.41$	Gender: d=.20	(males)	
					Interaction: $F(1,67)=4.60$, $p=.04$	Men: d=.91		
						Women: d=.18		
Helping behavior (1=yes,	71.3%	72.3%	75.2%	72.3%	Condition: $F(1,76)=.01$, $p=.92$	Condition: d=.02	Not	0.954
0=no) in response to target in	(11.4%)	(7.9%)	(9.0%)	(7.9%)	Gender: $F(1,76)=.05$, $p=.83$	Gender: d=.05	significant	
distress					Interaction: $F(1,76)=.05, p=.83$			
Hours of offered help in	4.37	3.75	3.23	3.71	Condition: $F(1,49)=2.88, p=.10$	Condition: d=.46	Not	0.205
response to target in distress	(.41)	(.32)	(.36)	(.29)	Gender: $F(1,49)=.05$, $p=.83$	Gender: d=.06	significant	
					Interaction: $F(1,49)=2.50$, $p=.12$			
Observer-reported empathy	5.64	5.60	4.90	5.39	Condition: $F(1,71)=2.75$, $p=.10$	Condition: d=.37	Not	0.205
	(.35)	(.24)	(.28)	(.25)	Gender: $F(1,71)=.65$, $p=.42$	Gender: d=.19	significant	
					Interaction: $F(1,71)=.96, p=.33$			
Practical empathy in imagined	.33	.33	.43	.84	Condition: <i>F</i> (1,78)=3.26, <i>p</i> =.075	Condition: d=.40	Not	0.191
scenarios (e.g. offering to	(.21)	(.15)	(.16)	(.15)	Gender: $F(1,78)=1.43$, $p=.24$	Gender: d=.27	significant	
listen or help)					Interaction: $F(1,78)=1.47$, $p=.23$			
Covert follow-up (Time 3)								
Responses to hostile text	1.50	1.91	1.05	1.46	Condition: <i>F</i> (1,75)=6.14, <i>p</i> =.02	Condition: d=.56	Significant	0.112
message from stranger (higher	(.23)	(.16)	(.18)	(.16)	Gender: $F(1,75)=5.06$, $p=.03$	Gender: d=.52		
= more prosocial)					Interaction: $F(1,75)=.00, p=.99$			
Overt follow-up (Time 4)								
Affective (motives and								
emotions)								

Feelings of social	4.20	3.24	3.00	3.11	Condition: <i>F</i> (1,56)=2.57, <i>p</i> =.11	Condition: d=.42	Not	0.205
connectedness	(.32)	(.24)	(.26)	(.24)	Gender: $F(1,56)=6.19$, $p=.02$	Gender: d=.65	significant	
					Interaction: $F(1,56)=4.09$, $p=.05$			
Motives for volunteering:	5.30	4.85	4.95	5.21	Condition: $F(1,55)=.00, p=.99$	Condition: d=.00	Not	0.990
Other-oriented (using VFI)	(.33)	(.25)	(.28)	(.24)	Gender: $F(1,55)=.11$, $p=.74$	Gender: d=.09	significant	
					Interaction: $F(1,55)=1.64$, $p=.21$			
Motives for volunteering:	4.86	4.61	4.72	4.81	Condition: $F(1,55)=.01$, $p=.92$	Condition: d=.03	Not	0.954
Self-oriented (using VFI)	(.31)	(.24)	(.27)	(.23)	Gender: $F(1,55)=.10$, $p=.75$	Gender: d=.08	significant	
					Interaction: $F(1,55)=.40$, $p=.53$			
Trait s / self-perceptions								
Dispositional empathy:	3.80	3.33	3.67	3.57	Condition: $F(1,56)=.10$, $p=.76$	Condition: d=.08	Not	0.937
Perspective Taking†	(.21)	(.16)	(.17)	(.16)	Gender: $F(1,56)=2.55$, $p=.12$	Gender: d=.41	significant	
					Interaction: $F(1,56)=1.13$, $p=.29$			
Dispositional empathy:	3.76	3.65	3.59	4.00	Condition: $F(1,56)=.24$, $p=.63$	Condition: d=.13	Not	0.840
Empathic Concern†	(.22)	(.17)	(.18)	(.16)	Gender: $F(1,56)=.68, p=.41$	Gender: d=.21	significant	
					Interaction: $F(1,56)=2.02$, $p=.16$			
Dispositional empathy:	3.33	3.52	3.36	3.44	Condition: $F(1,56)=.01$, $p=.91$	Condition: d=.03	Not	0.954
Fantasy†	(.27)	(.21)	(.22)	(.20)	Gender: $F(1,56)=.36$, $p=.55$	Gender: d=.16	significant	
					Interaction: $F(1,56)=.07, p=.79$			
Dispositional empathy:	2.21	2.76	2.48	2.61	Condition: $F(1,56)=.09$, $p=.77$	Condition: d=.08	Not	0.937
Personal Distress†	(.24)	(.19)	(.20)	(.18)	Gender: $F(1,56)=2.79$, $p=.10$	Gender: d=.43	significant	
					Interaction: $F(1,56)=1.04$, $p=.31$			
Behaviors (self-reported and								
observed)								
Number of in-person social	6.20	5.47	2.60	3.67	Condition: $F(1,56)=8.77$, $p=.004$	Condition: d=.04	Significant	0.0653
interactions	(1.09)	(.84)	(.89)	(.81)	Gender: $F(1,56)=.03$, $p=.85$	Gender: d=.77		
					Interaction: $F(1,56)=.97, p=.33$			
Ratio of giving to receiving	1.21	.99	1.13	1.10	Condition: $F(1,47)=.01$, $p=.92$	Condition: d=.03	Significant	0.112
social support	(.19)	(.14)	(.15)	(.13)	Gender: $F(1,47)=.60$, $p=.44$	Gender: d=.22		
					Interaction: $F(1,47)=.37$, $p=.55$			
Social dilemma game with	50.0%	76.5%	80.0%	83.3%	Condition: $F(1,56)=2.59$, $p=.11$	Condition: d=.42	Not	0.205
other participant (%	(13.7%)	(10.5%)	(11.25)	(10.2%)	Gender: $F(1,56)=1.69$, $p=.20$	Gender: d=.34	significant	
cooperating)					Interaction: $F(1,56)=1.02$, $p=.32$			

Note: Standard errors are in parentheses since baseline scores were added as covariates whenever possible.

[†] In the overt follow-up, a modified version of the Davis Interpersonal Reactivity Index was administered. Participants were asked to report the extent to which each item applied to them "compared to most people your age."

^Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate was set at 0.15. A false discovery rate of 0.15 implies that up to 15% of the significant results may be due to chance. Results that are below this false discovery rate are deemed as "significant" for the Benjamini-Hochberg test. The exact false discovery rates are located in this column.